### Referral & Engaged

**Year To Date, November 2018**

**Total #Referrals** 323 (100%)

**Total #Assessments** 178 (55%)

**Total #Linkages** 334

**Avg. #Days Refer–Assess** 13.76

#### Referral Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Unengaged</th>
<th>Engaged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNKN (65; 30%)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS (33; 15%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELF (27; 15%)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH (137; 65%)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLTHCR (31; 15%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTRCH (13; 7%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LE (5; 3%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Unengaged</th>
<th>Engaged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFAM (36; 11%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLAM (10; 3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUAM (94; 29%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHAM (22; 7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM (160; 50%)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Unengaged</th>
<th>Engaged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALE</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Race by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>MALE</th>
<th>FEMALE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFAM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLAM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUAM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHAM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLM</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Youth-Family Assessment-Risk (N=178)

#### Need met? Service right? Tell a friend? Come again?

**Location easy?**

- PreK: 0%
- K: 10%
- 1: 20%
- 2: 30%
- 3: 40%
- 4: 50%
- 5: 60%
- 6: 70%
- 7: 80%
- 8: 90%
- 9: 100%

**At-Risk**

- At-Risk:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%

**In-Risk**

- In-Risk:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%

**Asset**

- Asset:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%

**Missing**

- Missing:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%

### Referral Source

**Total Referral Source**

- Total #Referrals: 323 (100%)
- Total #Assessments: 178 (55%)
- Total #Linkages: 334

#### Engaged

- Engaged:
  - Ashland: 9 (13)
  - Centralia: 4 (10)
  - Columbia: 149 (274)
  - Hallsville: 1 (2)
  - Harrisburg: 1 (4)
  - Hartsburg: 5 (6)
  - Rocheport: 3 (3)
  - Sturgeon: 11 (6)

#### Youth-Family Assessment-Risk (N=178)

- At-Risk:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%

- In-Risk:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%

- Asset:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%

- Missing:
  - PreK: 0%
  - K: 10%
  - 1: 20%
  - 2: 30%
  - 3: 40%
  - 4: 50%
  - 5: 60%
  - 6: 70%
  - 7: 80%
  - 8: 90%
  - 9: 100%
### Average TPA Ratings: Year to Date, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>TPA-1 Mean (s.d.; n)</th>
<th>TPA-2 Mean (s.d.; n)</th>
<th>TPA-3 Mean (s.d.; n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At Assessment</td>
<td>8.69 (1.73; 166)</td>
<td>8.21 (1.91; 139)</td>
<td>8.03 (1.97; 78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Week Follow-up</td>
<td>7.26 (2.74; 66)</td>
<td>7.64 (2.23; 55)</td>
<td>7.87 (1.73; 31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Week Follow-up</td>
<td>7.33 (2.575; 55)</td>
<td>7.17 (2.43; 47)</td>
<td>7.27 (2.43; 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Week Follow-up</td>
<td>6.12 (2.77; 52)</td>
<td>5.90 (2.63; 41)</td>
<td>6.46 (2.61; 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Week Follow-up</td>
<td>6.82 (2.96; 56)</td>
<td>7.21 (2.43; 47)</td>
<td>6.81 (2.56; 27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**t**-**test (p-value, ES)**
- Assessment-Wk 4: 1.82 (s.d.=2.82) **t**=4.83, **df**=55 (**p**=0.000; **ES**=.65)
- Assessment-Wk 4: 1.13 (s.d.=2.47) **t**=3.13, **df**=46 (**p**=.003; **ES**=.46)
- Assessment-Wk 4: 1.41 (s.d.=3.31) **t**=2.21, **df**=26 (**p**=.036; **ES**=.43)

**Red highlight indicates proportionally higher family reported risk compared to other categories.**